COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KENT COUNTY COURTHOUSE DOVER, DELAWARE 19901 PHONE: (302) 739-4618

CHARLES W. WELCH, III
JUDGE

March 3, 2011

Mr. David Jackson Cooke 2444 Alley Corner Road Clayton, DE 19938

RE:

State of Delaware v. David Cooke

Case No.: 1009023763

Decision on Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Constitutional Right to Travel

Dear Mr. Cooke:

I have carefully reviewed the motions to dismiss that you filed for the above-referenced matter with the court on February 1, 2011. It appears as though the main focus of your motions is a purported violation of your fundamental constitutional right to travel, since most of the charges against you are traffic charges. You contend that Delaware's traffic laws and requirement that operators of motor vehicles possess a driver's license infringe on this right. This contention is not supported by current law. Therefore, your motion must be denied.

In contrast to the right to travel, the right to drive is not a fundamental constitutional right, but, rather a privilege. Whenever a person chooses to operate a motor vehicle in Delaware, he surrenders his individual liberties to the extent necessary for compliance with relevant traffic statutes and ordinances that are necessary for the general welfare of the public. Thus, the revocation of a person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle on public roadways may properly be based on noncompliance with statutory law and such revocation is not an infringement on the revokee's right to travel.

Delaware's enforcement of its traffic laws does not per se violate a motorist's constitutional right to travel because the state legislature has constitutional police power to ensure safe drivers and roadways. The right to travel does not provide an individual a

Mr. David Jackson Cooke Page Two March 3, 2011

right to travel in any manner one wants, free of state regulation. In addition, the right to travel does not give travelers the ability to ignore a state's traffic laws at their discretion. Statutes requiring valid driver's licenses for motorists, vehicle registration and inspection, the use of seatbelts, the imposition of speed limits, and the revocation or suspension of driving privileges have been upheld against numerous challenges that they violate the right to travel. See Bell v. Burson, 402 U.S. 535 (1971); Kaltenbach v. Breaux, 690 F. Supp. 1551 (W.D. La. 1988); State v. Downs, 2001 WL 1127330 (Ohio Ct. App.); Boutin v. Conway, 572 A.2d 905 (Vt. 1990). Given this body of law, your motion to dismiss pursuant to your constitutional right to travel must be denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Sincerely,

Charles W. Welch, III

CWW:mek

nc.

Gregory Babowal, Esq., Deputy Attorney General Mark Cutrona, Esq., Deputy Attorney General Lindsay Taylor, Esq., Deputy Attorney General